International Journal of Research in Advent Technology (IJRAT) (E-ISSN: 2321-9637) Special Issue National Conference "CONVERGENCE 2016", 06th-07th April 2016

Effect of Earthquake on Multistoried Step back Building with and without bracing

Ms. Tejaswini S. Junghare¹, Prof. G. P. Deshmukh²

¹PG Student, Structural Engineeirng, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management studies, Buldhana ²Head of Department, Department of Civil Engineering, Pankaj Laddhad Institute of Technology and Management studies,

Buldhana

¹tejaswinijunghare@gmail.com

Abstract:

Buildings on slope are most vulnerable during earthquake due to formation of irregularity in structure. Hence, buildings with irregularity are generally avoided. But, as the topography of ground it is not all time plain or flat there may be the possibility of slope in the ground, construction of structures on slope since are unavoidable. Such buildings erected on slope known as Step back building. Failure of these buildings due to earthquake can be minimized by providing lateral force resisting members such as bracings, shear wall etc. In this study, bracing of V shape is provided along the outer periphery of step back building located on slope of 10^0 , and with two different heights as 6 Storey and 8 Storey. These buildings are modeled in STAAD v8i structural software and then analyzed using Response Spectrum Method. The results are then concluding in terms of Storey drift, Base Shear and Fundamental Time Period. it shows the provision of V bracing improves the storey drift i.e. storey drift is minimized by providing bracing.

Keywords: Step back building, bracings, response spectrum method, storey drift.

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to change in features of the ground, or due to increasing residents and rapid growth of country, buildings are now built on slope. But during earthquake these building are more vulnerable as vertical irregularities are formed in the structures. Irregularity are in the form of short column effect tends to fail the structures very earlier. Thus by providing lateral load resisting members as V bracing the time of failure can be extended so that there may not be any loss of lives due to failure of building.

The focal significance of this work is to improve the behavior of Step back building by decreasing the storey drift, of building constructed on slope by providing of V bracings. As well as Base shear and fundamental time period are also compared.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study has been done in following steps which includes all the procedure from literature study up to result and conclusion on this study:

- 1. Literature Study.
- 2. Plan and some factors of building models has been decided.
- 3. Slope for these buildings were fixed as 10^{0} on which building has modeled for two different storey heights as 6 storey and 8 storey.
- Analysis has been performed using Response Spectrum method in STAAD v8i.
- 5. Results are presented in terms of storey drift, base shear and fundamental time period.

3. MODELING AND ANALYSIS

Models of two configurations, Step back building and Step back building with V bracing of two storey heights as 6 Storey and 8 Storey are modeled and analyzed using structural software STAAD v8i by response spectrum method for earthquake loading

parameters.	For	this	anal	ysis	the	categorization	of
models are a	s giv	en in	table	e sho	wn b	elow:	
	TD 1	1 1	3 6 1	1 D	. •1		

Table 1. Wodel Details				
Group	Model No.	Storey	Slope	Structure
т	Model 1	6 Storey	100	Step back building without bracing
1	Model 2	8 Storey	10	
п	Model 3	6 Storey	0	Step back building with V bracing
	Model 4	8 Storey	100	

Plan of building models is same of size 30 X 30 m. Slope of building is decided as 10^{0} . Size of column and beam are taken as 700 X 700 mm and 230 X 700 mm respectively with slab thickness as 150 mm. The parameters required for response spectrum analysis are as below:

Storey Height:	3 m
Depth of Foundation:	1.75 m
Wall Thickness:	
a) External :	230 mm
b) Partition:	115 mm
Grade of Concrete:	M 25
Slab thickness:	0.15 m
Superimposed Load:	4 KN/m^2
Zone factor:	0.24
Importance Factor:	1.5
Response Reduction	5
raciór (biviller).	
Damping Ratio:	0.05











Figure 2 (a) & (b): Group I Model for Step back building without bracing





(b) Figure 3 (a) & (b): Group II Model for Step back building with V bracing

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Above models are analyzed in STAAD v8i by response spectrum method. These models are Step back building without bracing and Step back building with bracing different storey are presented in tables and graphs for parameters Storey Drift, Base Shear and Fundamental Time Period.

1.	Storey	Drift:
----	--------	--------

	8 Storey			
Storey Height	Storey Drift of Step back building without bracing (cm)	Storey Drift of Step back building with V bracing (cm)		
0	0.5457	0.4933		
3	1.1478	0.7235		
6	1.297	0.7714		
9	1.2086	0.6983		
12	1.0616	0.5947		
15	0.9037	0.4873		
18	0.7331	0.4186		
21	0.5383	0.3247		
24	0.3343	0.1939		

Graphical representation:

International Journal of Research in Advent Technology (IJRAT) (E-ISSN: 2321-9637) Special Issue National Conference "CONVERGENCE 2016", 06th-07th April 2016



Figure 4: Storey Drift for 8 Storey

Table 3: Storey Drift for 6 Storey			
	6 Storey		
Storey Height	Storey Drift of Step back building without bracing (cm) (cm)	Storey Drift of Step back building with V bracing (cm)	
0	0.5111	0.3856	
3	1.0653	0.6213	
6	1.1846	0.6497	
9	1.0647	0.5693	
12	0.8608	0.4519	
15	0.6151	0.3334	
18	0.3681	0.195	

Graphical representation



Figure 5: Storey Drift for 6 Storey

Results show that the storey drift decreases by providing V bracings to the building. It is about 45% reduction in drift compare to building on slope without bracing.

Step Back Step Back Storey Building **Building with** Height without V braicng bracing 9903.03 KN 9896.69 KN 8 Storey 7905.18 KN 7875.86 KN 6Storey Table No. 4: Base Shear for 10, 8 and 6 Storey

Table 100. 4. Dase blicar for 10, 0 and 0 bio

Graphical representation of Base Shear:



Figure 6: Base Shear for 8 and 6 Storey Base shear is found more by providing V bracing as the weight of the building is increases.

2. Fundamental Time Period:

Table 5: Fundamental Time Period			
Storey Height	Step Back Building without bracing	Step Back Building with V braicng	
8 Storey	1.23829 Sec	1.1139 Sec	
6Storey	0.97651 Sec	0.88753 Sec	

Graphical representation;



Figure No. 7: Fundamental Time Period

Likewise, fundamental time period is not much fluctuating in buildings on slope without and with bracing. Only small variation in fundamental time period is noted.

Base Shear:

Table 4: Base Shear for 10, 8 and 6 Storey

5. CONCLUSIONS

All results presented above in tabular and graphical form on analysis of building on slope i.e. on step back building International Journal of Research in Advent Technology (IJRAT) (E-ISSN: 2321-9637) Special Issue

National Conference "CONVERGENCE 2016", 06th-07th April 2016 ling with V bracing, it can be concluded **REFERENCES**

and step back building with V bracing, it can be concluded **R** that,

- 1. Provision of bracing of v shape reduces the storey drift.
- 2. Base Shear is increases by providing bracing as it increases weight of building.
- 3. In the same way, fundamental time period for step back building with V bracing is more compare to without bracing.
- 4. Step back building is more prone to earthquake as irregularities are formed but if V bracing is provided it will behave more better compare to without bracing.

[1] S.M. Nagargoje and K. S. Sable, "Seismic performance of multi-storeyed building on sloping ground", S. M. Nagargoje et al./ Elixir Elec. Engg. 53 (2012) 11980-11982.

[2] Kalyanrao, Gude Ramakrishna, "Pushover Analysis of Sloping Ground RC Buildings", International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), ISSN: 2278-0181, Vol. 3 Issue 6, June – 2014.