

# Some New Subclasses Of Bi-Univalent Functions Defined By Convolution Associated With Linear Differential Operator

M.Thirucheran<sup>1</sup>, T. Stalin<sup>2</sup>

*Associate Professor and Head, Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics<sup>1</sup>, Assistant Professor,  
Department of Mathematics<sup>2</sup>,  
LN Government College, University of Madras, Chennai, India<sup>1</sup>.  
Gajan School of Business and Technology, Anna University, Chennai, India<sup>2</sup>.  
Email: drthirucheran@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, goldstaleen@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>*

**Abstract:** The main object of this paper is investigating a new subclass of bi-univalent function in the open unit disk  $U$  which is defined by convolution of Al-Oboudi Differential Operator. And obtained the initial two Taylor -McLaurin co-efficient  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for the subclass  $S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}$  of Bi-Univalent function.

2010 Mathematics Subject classification:30C45,30C55 and 30C80.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, univalent functions, bivalent functions, convolution and Al-Oboudi differential operator.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND DEFINITIONS

### Definition 1.1

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f$  normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \quad (1.1)$$

Which are analytic in the open unit disc  $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ .

For  $f \in A$ , Al-Oboudi [1] introduces the following operator.

$$\begin{aligned} D^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ D' f(z) &= (1-\delta)f(z) + \delta z f'(z) = D_{\delta} f(z), \quad \delta \geq 0 \\ D^n f(z) &= D_{\delta}(D^{n-1} f(z)), \quad n \in N = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \therefore D^n f(z) &= z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} [1 + (j-1)\delta] a_j z^j, \quad n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

If  $\delta = 1$ , then we get Salagean [7] differential operator.

Koebe One -Quarter Theorem [5]

The range of every function of class  $A$  contains the disk of radius  $\left\{w : |w| < \frac{1}{4}\right\}$ .

It is well known that every function  $f \in A$  has an inverse  $f^{-1}$  defined by  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$  and  $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ ,  $\left(|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$ .

For this inverse function  $f^{-1}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(w) := f^{-1}(w) &= w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3)w^3 \\ &\quad - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4)w^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Let  $r(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} r_n z^n$ ,  $(r_n > 0)$  &

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_j z^j \in S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}$$

Then the Hadamard product  $(f * r)$  defined by if

$$\text{and only if } (f * r)(z) = z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} r_j a_j z^j \in S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}$$

### Definition 1.2

If both the function  $f$  and its inverse function  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $U$ , then the function  $f$  is called bi-univalent.

For example,  $\frac{z}{1-z}, -1 \circ g(z), \frac{1}{2} \circ g\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$ , and so on.

However, the familiar Koebe function is not a bi-univalent function.

For example,  $z - \frac{z^2}{2}, \frac{z}{1-z^2}$ , and so on.

Let the class  $\Sigma$  of bi-univalent function first investigated by Levin [8] and found that

Afterward, Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that  $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ .

Later, Brannan and Taha [3] introduced the new subclass of bi-univalent function of the class  $\Sigma$  like the familiar subclasses  $S^*(\alpha)$  and  $C(\alpha)$  of starlike and convex functions of  $\alpha$ . ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) ,respectively. If a function  $f \in A$  is in the class  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$  of strongly bi-starlike function of order  $\alpha$ . ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) if each of the following conditions

satisfied  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  and  $\left| \arg \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$  ,  
 $(z \in U)$  and  $\left| \arg \left( \frac{wg(z)}{g(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$  ,  $(w \in U)$

where the function  $g$  is the extension of  $f^{-1}$  to  $U$ . Similarly, a function  $f \in A$  is in the class  $C_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$  of strongly bi-convex function of order  $\alpha$ . ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) if each of the following conditions

satisfied  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  and  $\left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$  ,  
 $(z \in U)$  and  $\left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{wg''(z)}{g'(w)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}$  ,  $(w \in U)$ .

Where the function  $g$  is the extension of  $f^{-1}$  to  $U$ . For each function  $S_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$  and  $C_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$ . They found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor - McLaurin co-efficient  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$ .

Recently, Qing-Hua Xu, Ying-Chun Gui and H.M. Srivastava [9], B.A. Frasin and M.K. Aouf [6], Seker.B [11], and R.M. El-Ashwah [10] investigated some subclasses of bi-univalent function and obtained non-sharp estimates on the first two co-efficient.

By motivated this study we introduce and obtained the initial two co-efficient  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  for the subclass  $S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}$ .

### Definition: 1.3

A function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $f \in S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}(\alpha)$ , ( $m, n \in N_0, m > n, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). if the following conditions are satisfied:  $f(z), r(z) \in A$  and

$$\left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{and } \left| \arg \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right) \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad (w \in U) \quad (1.5)$$

Where the function  $g$  is given by (1.3).

### Definition: 1.4

A function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) is said to be in the class  $f \in S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}(\gamma)$ , ( $m, n \in N_0, m > n, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), if the following conditions are satisfied:  $f(z), r(z) \in A$  and

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right) \right) > \gamma, \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.6)$$

$$\& \Re \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right) \right) > \gamma, \quad (w \in U) \quad (1.7)$$

Where the function  $g$  is given by (1.3).

### Definition: 1.5

A function  $h(z), p(z) : U \rightarrow C$  satisfy the conditions  $\min \{ \Re(h(z)), \Re(p(z)) \} > 0$  ,  $z \in U$  and  $h(0) = p(0) = 1$ .

For a function  $f \in S_{\Sigma,r}^{m,n,b,\delta}(h, p)$  defined by (1.1), ( $m, n \in N_0, m > n, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ). if the following conditions are satisfied:  $f, r \in A$  and

$$\left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right) \right) \in h(z), \quad (z \in U) \quad (1.8)$$

$$\& \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right) \right) \in p(z), \quad (w \in U) \quad (1.9)$$

Where the function  $g$  is given by (1.3).

### Remarks

- (i).  $S_{\Sigma,1}^{m,n,1,1}(\alpha) = H_{\Sigma}^{m,n}(\alpha)$  ,( Seker.B [11])
- (ii).  $S_{\Sigma,1}^{1,0,1,1}(\alpha) = S_{\Sigma}^*(\alpha)$  , (Brannan and Taha [3])
- (iii).  $S_{\Sigma,1}^{2,1,1,1}(\alpha) = C_{\Sigma}(\alpha)$  , (Brannan and Taha [3])

## 2. MAIN RESULT

To derive our main results, we should recall the following lemma [4].

### Lemma 2.1

Let  $h \in P$  the family of all functions  $h$  analytic in  $U$  for which  $\Re(h(z) > 0)$  and have the form  $h(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots$  for  $z \in U$ . Then  $|p_n| \leq 2$ , for each  $n$ .

### Theorem 2.2

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma}^{m,n,b,\delta}(h, p)$ .

Then  $|a_2| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2 \left[ \begin{matrix} ((1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) \\ - ((1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}) \end{matrix} \right]}}$   $(2.1)$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \frac{4|b|^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b|}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

### Proof

Let consider the function  $h$  and  $p$  Satisfying the conditions of the definition (1.5) with the form  $h(z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \dots$  ,  $z \in U$  &  $p(w) = 1 + p_1 w + p_2 w^2 + p_3 w^3 + \dots$  ,  $w \in U$  respectively.

Since  $f, r \in S_{\Sigma, r}^{m, n, b, \delta}(h, p)$ , then

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right)\right) = h(z), \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right)\right) = p(z), \quad (w \in U) \quad (2.4)$$

respectively.

By equating the coefficient of (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n) a_2 r_2 = b h_1 \quad (2.5)$$

$$(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) a_3 r_3 = b h_2 \quad (2.6)$$

$$-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}) a_2^2 r_2^2 = b h_2 \quad (2.7)$$

$$-(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n) a_2 r_2 = b p_1 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) a_3 r_3 \\ & + \left[ 2((1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) \right] a_2^2 r_2^2 = b p_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.5)&(2.7),

$$h_1 = -p_1 \quad (2.9)$$

And

$$2[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2 a_2^2 r_2^2 = b^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2) \quad (2.10)$$

$$\therefore a_2^2 = \frac{b^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)}{2r_2^2 ((1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n)^2} \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.6)&(2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left[ \frac{(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n}{-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}} \right] a_2^2 r_2^2 = b(h_2 + p_2) \\ & (2.12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore a_2^2 = \frac{b(h_2 + p_2)}{2r_2^2 \left[ \frac{(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n}{-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}} \right]} \quad (2.13)$$

$$2(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) a_3 r_3 \quad (2.14)$$

$$= 2(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) a_2^2 r_2^2 + b(h_2 - p_2) \quad (2.14)$$

$$\therefore a_3 = \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \frac{b^2 (h_1^2 + p_1^2)}{2((1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n)^2} + \frac{b(h_2 - p_2)}{2((1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n)} \right) \quad (2.15)$$

From Lemma (2.1),(2.11),(2.13) and (2.15), we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2 \left[ \frac{(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n}{-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}} \right]}}$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \frac{4|b|^2 \alpha^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b| \alpha}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \right)$$

This completes the theorem (2.2).

### Theorem 2.3

Let the function  $f, r$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, r}^{m, n, b, \delta}(\alpha)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2\alpha \left[ \frac{(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n}{-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}} \right]}} \quad (2.16)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \frac{4|b|^2 \alpha^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b| \alpha}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \right) \quad (2.17)$$

### Proof

Let consider the function  $h$  and  $p$  satisfying the conditions of the definition (1.5) with the form

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \dots, \quad z \in U$$

and

$$p(w) = 1 + p_1 w + p_2 w^2 + p_3 w^3 + \dots, \quad w \in U$$

respectively.

Since  $f, r \in S_{\Sigma, r}^{m, n, b, \delta}(\alpha)$ , then

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right)\right) = [h(z)]^\alpha, \quad (z \in U) \quad (2.18)$$

$$\text{and } \left(1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right)\right) = [p(z)]^\alpha, \quad (w \in U) \quad (2.19)$$

respectively.

From Lemma (2.1),(2.11),(2.13) and (2.15), we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2\alpha \left[ \frac{(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n}{-(1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}} \right]}}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \frac{4|b|^2 \alpha^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b| \alpha}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \right)$$

This completes the theorem (2.3).

### Theorem 2.4

Let the function  $f, r$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, r}^{m, n, b, \delta}(\gamma)$ . Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2(1-\gamma) \left[ \begin{array}{l} ((1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) \\ - ((1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}) \end{array} \right]}} \quad (2.20)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{4|b|^2(1-\gamma)^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} \\ + \frac{2|b|(1-\gamma)}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \end{array} \right) \quad (2.21)$$

**Proof**

Let consider the function  $h$  and  $p$  Satisfying the conditions of the definition (1.5) with the form

$$h(z) = 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + h_3 z^3 + \dots, z \in U \quad \text{and}$$

$$p(w) = 1 + p_1 w + p_2 w^2 + p_3 w^3 + \dots, w \in U$$

respectively.

Since

$$f, r \in S_{\Sigma, r}^{m, n, b, \delta}(\gamma), \text{then}$$

$$\left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(f * r)(z)}{D^n(f * r)(z)} - 1 \right) \right) = \gamma + (1-\gamma)h(z),$$

(2.22)

$$\text{and } \left( 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{D^m(g * r)(w)}{D^n(g * r)(w)} - 1 \right) \right) = \gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z)$$

,  $(w \in U)$  respectively.

From Lemma (2.1),(2.11),(2.13) and (2.15), we get

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\gamma)\sqrt{|b|}}{r_2 \sqrt{2(1-\gamma) \left[ \begin{array}{l} ((1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n) \\ - ((1+\delta)^{m+n} - (1+\delta)^{2n}) \end{array} \right]}}$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{r_3} \left( \begin{array}{l} \frac{4|b|^2(1-\gamma)^2}{[(1+\delta)^m - (1+\delta)^n]^2} \\ + \frac{2|b|(1-\gamma)}{[(1+2\delta)^m - (1+2\delta)^n]} \end{array} \right)$$

Letting  $\delta = 1$  in theorem (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.5**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, b, 1}(h, p)$

$$\text{Then } |a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|b|}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.24)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4|b|^2}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b|}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.25)$$

**Corollary 2.6**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, b, 1}(\alpha)$ . Then (using definition (1.3))

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|b|\alpha}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.26)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4|b|^2\alpha^2}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b|\alpha}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.27)$$

**Corollary 2.7**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, b, 1}(\gamma)$ . Then (using definition (1.4))

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2|b|(1-\gamma)}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4|b|^2(1-\gamma)^2}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2|b|(1-\gamma)}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.29)$$

Letting  $\delta = 1$  &  $b = 1$  in theorem (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we obtain the following corollaries Seker [6].

**Corollary 2.8**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, l, 1}(h, p)$

$$\text{.Then } |a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.30)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.31)$$

**Corollary 2.9**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, l, 1}(\alpha)$ . Then (using definition (1.3))

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.32)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.33)$$

**Corollary 2.10**

Let the function  $f(z)$  given by (1.1) be in the bi-univalent function class  $S_{\Sigma, 1}^{m, n, l, 1}(\gamma)$ . Then (using definition (1.4))

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{[(3)^m - (3)^n] - [(2)^{m+n} - (2)^{2n}]}} \quad (2.34)$$

$$\text{and } |a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\gamma)^2}{[(2)^m - (2)^n]^2} + \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{[(3)^m - (3)^n]} \quad (2.35)$$

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The authors thank the referees for their valuable suggestions which lead to the improvement of this paper.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Al-Oboudi.(2004), On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, Int. Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Science, Vol.27, 1429--1436.
- [2] Brannan D.A, Clunie (1980), Aspects of contemporary complex analysis, Academic press New York, London.
- [3] Brannan D.A, Taha T.S (1986), On some classes of bi-valent functions, Studia Univ Babes-Bolyoni Math, Vol.31, 70-77.
- [4] Ch. Pommerenke (1975), Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck and Rupercht, Gottingen.
- [5] Duren P.L (1983), Univalent functions, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [6] Frasin B.A. and M.K. Aouf (2011), New Subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Applied Mathematics letters, Vol.24, 1569-1573.
- [7] G. St. Salagean (1983), Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol.1013, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 362-372.
- [8] Lewin M (1967). On a coefficient problem for bi-valent functions, Proc Amer Math Soc, Vol.18, 63-68.
- [9] Qing-Hua Xu, Ying-Chun Gui and H.M. Srivastava (2012), Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Applied Mathematics letters, Vol.25, 990-994.
- [10]R. M.El-Ashwah, subclass of bi-univalent function defined by convolution, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 2014, 22, 348-351.
- [11]Seker.B (2018), On a new Subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by using Salagean operator, Turk J Math, Vol.42, 2891-2896.