International Journal of Research in Advent Technology, Vol.7, No.5S, May 2019
E-ISSN: 2321-9637
Available online at www.ijrat.org

Analysis on Age Invariance Face Recognition Study

[1]Ashutosh Dhamija, [2]R. B. Dubey
EEE department, SRM University,Sonepat, Haryana, India
dhamija.ashutosh@gmail.com,rbdubey@srmuniversity.ac.in

Abstract— Currently age invariance face recognition is an emerging research topic & has many potential applications. Face recognition under different intra-individual varieties, for example, demeanors, posture & impediment has been given satisfactory consideration in examination documented. In any case, age invariance confront acknowledgment still faces numerous difficulties because of age related natural changes in nearness of other appearance varieties. This paper surveys the prominent published literatures to analyze and summarize the work done so far on age invariant face recognition and to evaluate them on various scales like computational speed, accuracy, performances.

Keywords—Age invariance, computer vision, extrinsic conditions, intrinsic conditions, aging databases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Face acknowledgment is a developing examination point with various potential applications. It is a biometric approach that utilizes robotized techniques to confirm personality of an individual dependent on physiological qualities . maturing of an individual realizes an adjustment fit as a fiddle & surface of face. It is an exceptionally mind boggling process which relies upon numerous elements like quality example, way of life, stretch, natural conditions & so on. Programmed confront acknowledgment is a vital yet difficult assignment because of maturing varieties, intra-client varieties, for example, present, light, articulation & between client similarity[1].

Age invariant face acknowledgment frameworks were not broadly considered before on account of absence of reasonable databases, yet ongoing coming of FGNET, MORPH & different database have completed this zone accessible for extensive research area. N. Ramanathan [2] displayed a Bayesian age-contrast classifier that distinguishes age division between a couple of face pictures of a person. This strategy was appropriate to deal with age movement in grown-up pictures, yet not viable for taking care of age movement in face pictures of youngsters. H Ling et al [3] proposed a hearty face descriptor, slope introduction pyramid, for face confirmation undertakings crosswise over ages. Contrasted with recently utilized descriptors, for example, picture power, new descriptor is progressively strong & performs well on face pictures with expansive age contrasts.

N. R. Syambas [4] concentrated on advancement of picture pre-handling factors like complexity, brilliance, sharpness in acknowledgment framework for enhanced acknowledgment precision. G Mahalingam & C Kambhamettu [5] introduced a diagram based picture portrayal & a maturing model built utilizing GMM for every person to show their age varieties basically fit as a fiddle & surface. Here, a two phase approach for acknowledgment is utilized in which a basic deterministic calculation that misuses topology of charts is projected for productive diagram coordinating between test picture & exhibition picture.

J. S. Nayak [6] utilized a self-PCA based strategy to represent peculiarity of impacts of maturing of an individual for age invariant face acknowledgment. locale around eyes is utilized as info highlight rather than whole face as it is progressively steady piece of face. J. S. Nayak [7] proposed self-PCA based face acknowledgment technique to think about maturing impacts by building subspace at individual dimension. Z. Li et al [8] utilized a discriminative model to address confront coordinating within sight of age variety. Multi-scale Local Binary Pattern (MLBP) and Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) fill in as neighborhood descriptor. Subsequently both SIFT-based nearby highlights & MLBP-based neighborhood highlights range a high-dimensional element space, to keep away from over fitting issue, build up a calculation, defined multi-feature discriminant analsys (MFDA) to course these 2 neighborhood highlight space in a brought together structure. On facial maturing, age movement & age estimation strategies are studied in [9, 11, 12]. Though, a couple of face verification explores crosswise over maturing alongside age movement & age estimation are accounted for in written works. Introductory deals with impact of maturing on execution of AIFR has been tended to however it just uses FG-NET database [9-13]. inspiration for this paper is to give an audit of current age invariant models accessible in writing & gauge best one that satisfies all highlights & conditions.

Further, In Section 2, various aging databases are described. Section 3 deals with the age invariance face recognition methods. A relative analysis of AIFR approaches are tabulated in Section 4 and Section 5 gives conclusion of the work.

2. AGING DATABASES

Databases play very important for testing of face recognition algorithms. Due to lack of appropriate aging databases, age invariant issue has gained its attention very late. Now, many databases are available; a few of them are given below [15]:

FERET: This contains little age variations. It has total number of 14,126 images which has 1199 subjects & 365 duplicate set of images. It is hardly utilized for testing of AIFR algorithm.

FG-NET: It comprises 1002 pictures of 82 different subject. Its major limitaions are less number of subjects available in database**.**

MORPH: It is a very large database. It has two sets, namely, album 1 & 2. Album 1 covers 1690 face image of 625 subjects in age group of 15-60 year. Album 2 covers 78,207 image of 20,569 different subject.

Cross-age celebrity database (CACD): It covers 163,446 images of 2000 subjects. Meta data details like name, age, identity, birth year is provided additionally.

Pinellas Country Sheriff's Office Longitudinal Study (PCSO-LS): It contains 1.5 million pictures which are gathered from 18,007 hoodlums captured by PCSO. It subjects have no less than 5 confront pictures gathered over somewhere around 5 years time of range. It doesn't contain pictures of subjects between age gathering of 0-15 years.

Wholslt (WIT): It covers 1109 images of 110 subjects which are collected from internet.

FACES: It contains 1026 pictures of 171 subjects with six articulations like impartial, miserable, appall, fear, furious & cheerful. All pictures are frontal with settled light in age gathering of 19-80.

ADIENCE: This database is accumulation of wild face pictures. It contains 26,580 pictures of 2284 subjects. This has variety, for example, appearance, commotion, postures & lighting.

3. AGE INVARIANCE FACE RECOGNITION METHODS

Facial maturing process influences appearance, shape & surface of human face. Age related changes are reliant on inborn just as extraneous components like, condition, way of life, introduction to sun, push, infections & so on. Distinctive maturing design saw amid beginning a very long time in youngsters & grown-ups. bone structure does not change when individual is completely developed. maturing in grown-up is portrayed by wrinkles, retrusion, listing skin, eye slops & so on [14-15]. Shape change because of development is introduced by Thompson [16] while confront anthropometric profile for portraying human face certain qualities is exhibited by Farank & Farank & Munro [17-18]. Age invariance face acknowledgment (AIFR) has been comprehensively arranged into three classes: I) generative ii) discriminative & iii) Deep learning. generative technique depends on age movement strategies to change test picture to a similar age that of display picture [9-10]. Discriminative strategies handle acknowledgment without age movement; rather, they depend on nearby component descriptors. Discriminative learning strategies are additionally created for highlight coordinating in AIFR. As of late convolutional neural systems (CNN) have risen as a ground-breaking machine learning model. Profound learning based AIFR strategies are treated as generative just as discriminative techniques [15].

4. GENERATIVE MODEL

A generative model considers development of objective subject's face to be constrained by a lot of shrouded parameters. In any case, maturing procedure which should be demonstrated is exceedingly mind boggling & there are different components that influence maturing which are subject-explicit & rely upon particular age go.

The generative model has basic stages like load input picture & performs ordinary scientific task on picture. It has less yield status in contrast with discriminative model [5, 7]. For most part, all encompassing strategies use to create confront maturing models & construct maturing capacities to mimic or make up for maturing procedure. AAM is utilized to examine age estimation issues. In this method, after AAM parameters are removed from face picture a maturing capacity is constructed utilizing Genetic Algorithms to improve maturing capacity. probabilistic maturing model is separately setup by utilizing GMMs. In chart calculation, component purposes of a picture & their descriptors are attempted as vertices & names correspondingly. purpose behind low execution of generative model contrasted with proposed discriminative model is programmed milestone purpose of finder that is utilized for generative model [5, 7]

These face maturing databases are generally gathered from checked pictures in various stances, brightening & appearance & are inadequate to get best fit outcomes. So as to have a correct model to speak to maturing procedure, one ought to utilize an immense number of preparing pictures to at present constrained face maturing databases. Legal researchers demonstrated that human face maturing firmly relies upon ethnicity & sexual orientations. Albeit human countenances have a similar general way when maturing, every ethnic & sexual orientation bunch has particular qualities in face maturing. Along these lines, it is inadequate to expect that comparable faces age in comparative routes for every single individual [5, 7].

5. DISCRIMINATIVE MODEL

To conquer confinements of generative model, discriminative model was proposed which removed discriminative nearby highlights that are particular for each subject. Contrasted with worldwide component based methodologies, neighborhood includes inalienably have spatial area & introduction selectivity. These properties enable nearby component portrayals to be powerful to maturing, light, & articulation varieties. face acknowledgment calculations utilized in this model are SIFT, MLBP, MFDA & PCA. Each calculation has its own preference. Contrasted with worldwide appearance highlights, neighborhood highlights have been appeared to be increasingly viable in speaking to confront pictures at differing scales & introductions & vigorous to geometric mutilations & enlightenment varieties. neighborhood picture descriptor-based method for face portrayal are SIFT & MLBP. MFDA is an expansion & enhancement of LDA utilizing various highlights joined with two distinctive arbitrary testing strategies in highlight & test space. By arbitrary inspecting preparation set just as element space, different LDA-based classifiers are built & after that joined to create a strong choice by means of a combination rule [7].

5.1. Densely Sampled Local Feature Description

The entire face picture is partitioned into a lot of covering patch & after that chose neighborhood picture descriptors is connected to each fix. removed highlights from this patch are connected together to frame a component vector with huge dimensionality. SIFT contain descriptor quantizes both spatial area & introduction of picture angle inside a sxs estimated picture fix, & processes a histogram in which each canister compares to a blend of explicit spatial area & inclination overview. collection of histogram containers is weighted by angle greatness & a Gaussian rot work. Filter highlight portrayal comprises of two fundamental parts: key point extraction, & highlight descriptors. Thickly test SIFT include descriptors from whole facial picture rather than just at a generally modest number of extricated key focuses [7].

5.2. Multi-Feature Discriminant Analysis (MFDA)

The MFDA is utilized explicitly to deal with numerous capabilities with substantial dimensionality & with various scales & estimations. There are two sorts of nearby highlights (SIFT & MLBP), each with two diverse capabilities comparing to two distinctive fix sizes. To successfully deal with these expansive quantities of highlights for improved execution, two issues ought to be survived: 1) distinctive incongruence in scale & estimation & 2) over fitting issue. MFDA calculation isn't produced just to take care of customary dimensionality decrease issue. In MFDA, various types of highlights are broken into cuts & afterward scaled by PCA standardization, & over fitting issue is understood by arbitrary examining.
The utilization of sacking system in MFDA contrasts from conventional irregular examining based models. Rather than utilizing sacking to haphazardly test information inside each class or arbitrarily chose a subset of class, MFDA utilizes stowing to pick a subset of explicit between class test matches that are near arrangement limit for development of between-class dissipate framework. Along these lines, it isn't totally irregular. purpose behind receiving this system is expansive quantities of between class test sets & not all example sets add to learning of discriminative model. Subsequently, it is practical to pick a subset of explicit between class test combines close to characterization limit as contender to build between-class dissipate grid.
By incorporating MFDA with thickly examined neighborhood include descriptors, subsequent discriminative model is appropriate for age invariant face acknowledgment issue because of accompanying reasons: (I) thickly tested nearby element portrayal conspire is both an expansion & a mix of SIFT & MLBP. In this way, it is relied upon to acquire discriminative properties of these neighborhood depiction plans, & moreover have ability in extricating age invariant highlights, for example, dispersion of edge bearing in face. (ii) MFDA has ability to adequately consolidate rich data passed on by thickly tested SIFT & MLBP descriptors, which are integral to some degree [7].

6. PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA)

PCA includes a numerical method that changes various conceivably corresponded factors into various uncorrelated factors called vital parts, identified with first factors by a symmetrical change. This change is considered so that primary central part has as high a fluctuation as would be prudent & each succeeding segment thus has most elevated difference conceivable under limitation that it be symmetrical to first segments. Contingent upon field of utilization, it is likewise named discrete Karhunen– Loève change (KLT), Hotelling change or appropriate symmetrical disintegration (POD). The prepared pictures are not put away as crude pictures rather they are put away as their loads which are discovered anticipating every single prepared picture to arrangement of eigen faces acquired [6].

7. DEEP LEARNING VS NEURAL NETWORKS

In profound learning, CNN is a class of profound, feed-forward counterfeit neural systems, most generally connected to break down visual symbolism. neural systems are utilized in numerous applications yet primary goal of neural system in face acknowledgment is possibility of preparing a framework to catch perplexing class of face designs. In neural system no. of layer, quantities of hub, & so on are tuned widely. Over 90% precision in face acknowledgment process was accomplished in literary works. Regularly, neural systems are additionally more computationally costly than customary calculations. Cutting edge profound learning calculations, which acknowledge fruitful preparing of extremely profound neural system, can take half a month to prepare totally without any preparation. Most customary machine learning calculations set aside significantly less opportunity to prepare, running from a couple of minutes to a couple of hours or days [19-20]. Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) with a feed forward learning calculations was presented for its straightforwardness & its capacity in regulated example coordinating. It has been effectively connected to many example order issues [21].

Research with profound learning idea is that it is class of machine learning calculation which has highlights like: I) It has many fell layers for highlight extraction. yield of one layer fills in as contribution of second layer & ii) Higher dimension highlights are gotten from lower level highlights to frame various leveled portrayal. layers utilized in profound learning are concealed layers of counterfeit neural system. One more favorable position of profound learning is that layers chooses best highlights. Neural systems are prepared utilizing angle back-engendering technique. heaviness of a layer is refreshed as subordinate of past layer [22, 28-29].

Rather than utilizing hand-created includes in machine learning's, profound learning-based techniques are pleasantly utilized in face acknowledgment. Taigman et al. [22] present a profound model utilizing CNN considered profound face that accomplishes close human dimension execution in face confirmation. Yi Sun et al. [23] removed profound component portrayal for face acknowledgment by utilizing mutually confront recognizable proof & check to play out a directed preparing of CNN. Various profound learning strategies have been connected to deal with face acknowledgment issues [24-27]. These days, CNNs are indicating astounding execution in AIFR field. Nonetheless, ponders on CNNs are as yet restricted & needs more consideration of research.

8. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

This paper discusses a critical survey of existing literatures on age invariant face recognition methods. Table 1 provides recognition rate performance of different AIFR approaches on FG-NET, MORPH, CACD & other databases. Different types of texture descriptors such as SIFT, LBP, MLBP, PCA, LDA, IFA & various modeling techniques are applied to accurately classify face images in spite of their age variations. From Table 1, it is observed that highest recognition rate provided for FGNET & Morph database are using latent factor guided convolutional neural network. Our major focus in this survey is 3 classes obtainable for AIFR method to address recognition across diverse perspective. Albeit, generative methodologies are helpful to certain degree, however their presentation chiefly corrupts due wasteful maturing models. Conditions of facial maturing on huge natural and outward factors, alongside inadequate databases are real restrictions for making precise maturing models. CNN based generative models are very little investigated and should be given more research consideration. Profound learning methodologies can catch the differing maturing designs and have additionally appeared in the age estimation precision [15, 30-32].

Table 1: Recognition rate of age invariant face recognition methods on various databases.

S. No.	Authors	Techniques used	Databases	Recognition Rate
1	Patterson et al. (2006)	PCA	MORPH	33 %
2	Geng et al. (2007)	PCA	FG-NET	38.1%
3	Cui et al. (2010)	Hidden Factor Analysis (HFA)	MORPH and FG-NET	MORPH-91.14% and FG-NET-69%
4	Park et al. (2010)	Face VACS	FG-NET, MORPH and BROWNS	FG NET-37.4 %, MORPH-66.4 and BROWNS- 28.1
5	Felix et al.(2011)	Walsh-Hadamard Transform Encoded Local Binary Patterns	FG-NET	98%
6	Zhifeng et al. (2011)	Feature based method (SIFT and MLBP)	MORPH and FG-NET	FG-NET-47.5% and MORPH- 83.9 0%
7	Juefei-Xu et al. (2011)	UDP	FG-NET	100%
8	Jyothi et al.(2012)	Self -Principal Component Analysis (PCA) Based Method.	FG-NET	70 %
9	Jyothi et al.(2012)	Novel self PCA Based Approach	FG-NET	95 %
10	Nana et al. (2012)	Algorithm Demo.	FG-NET	98%
11	Dihong et al. (2013)	Hidden Factor Analysis (HFA)	MORPH and FG-NET	MORPH-91.14% and FG-NET-69%
12	Sungatullina et al.(2013)	MDL	FG-NET and MORPH	FG-NET-91.8 % and MORPH-65.2 %
13	Amal et al. (2014)	Local Binary Pattern (LBP) Texture Descriptor	FG-NET	95 %
14	Singh et al. (2014)	Random forest classifier	FG-NET	20.34%
15	Ravi et al. (2015)	Pose Correction Using AAA Model	FG-NET	76.60%
16	Dihong et al. (2015)	Identity factor analysis (IFA)	MORPH, FG-NET and LFW	MORPH-92.6%, FG-NET-76.2% and Overall- 94.56%
17	Djamel et al. (2015)	Kernelized radial basis function technique	Georgia Tech, MORPH and FGNET	GeorgiaTech- 83.6%,Morph- 83.8% and FG- NET-48.6%
18	Junyong et al. (2015)	PLS (Partial Least Square) model	FG-NET, MORPH	FG NET-74.7% and MORPH- 89.7%
19	Xiaonan et al. (2016)	PCA and LDA	CACD and MORPH	CACD-64% and MORPH-94.5%
20	Li et al. (2016)	Universal subspace analysis	MORPH Album 2	92.11 %
21	Xu et al. (2017)	Non linear factor analysis	FG-NET	86.5%
22	Tianyue et al. (2017)	Age estimation guided convolutional neural network (AE-CNN)	MORPH and CACD	98.13%
23	Yandong et al. (2017)	Latent factor guided convolutional neural network	MORPH Album2, FGNET, and CACD-VS	MORPH-97.51% and FG-NET 98.5%
24	Li et al. (2017)	Modified HFA and maximum likelihood approach	FG-NET and MORPH Album 2	FG NET- 72.8 % and MORPH Album 2 – 87.94 %
25	Huiling et al. (2018)	AG-IIM	FG-NET, MORPH and CACD	FG NET-88.23 %, MORPH- 95.6 % and CACD- 89.9 %
26	Yitong wang et al. (2018)	OE-CNN	FG-NET, MORPH and CACD-VS	FG NET-99.47 %, MORPH- 98.67 % and CACD VS- 99.5 %

Discriminative strategies rely upon age invariant facial highlights & discriminant learning systems. discriminative strategies can possibly address major AIFR issues. Substantial dimensionality is one of constraints of nearby component descriptors in discriminative methodologies. In complex learning, nearby highlights are anticipated onto a low dimensional complex yet recognizing a genuine age invariant complex is an intricate research issue. Profound learning AIFR techniques are fit for learning countless in nearness of maturing & different varieties & offer abnormal state of FR execution. These methodologies require huge measured databases for face portrayal & resulting learning. Because of inaccessibility of a solitary appropriate database, CNNs in AIFR are commonly prepared utilizing two separate databases, one for removing age invariant highlights & other for grouping. In this way, contrasted with different applications, CNN based AIFR techniques are when all is said in done computationally overwhelming & tedious [15, 30-32].

9. CONCLUSIONS

AIFR framework faces difficulties because of appearance varieties inside a subject & likenesses between subjects. We sorted studied AIFR approaches in three classes: generative, discriminative & profound learning. Each methodology takes AIFR issue in an unexpected way. Generative AIFR utilize maturing models for age change while discriminative methodologies depend on age invariant highlights & learning plans. Profound learning strategies offer an incorporated structure for face portrayal & classification. However, total preparing of profound learning systems which require huge preparing information, utilizing little databases like FG-NET) remains a test.

Execution of AIFR framework demonstrates distinctive patterns for various ethnic starting points, guys & females. Since facial maturing relies upon different factors other than maturing, it is essential to dissect impact of characteristic & outward factors on execution. Thus, for progressively exact assessment of AIFR calculations, a facial maturing database with right statistic data & wide intra-individual varieties is need of great importance. A solitary database highlighting a vast & equivalent number of countenances per individual, over all age bunches is gravely required. Quickly, regardless of significant advance in AIFR, it is still a long way from expectations. CNN based methods are showing remarkable performance but study on CNN are still limited & needs more attention in AIFR research field.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Kumar, A. V. Srivastava and P. S. Yadav, Survey on age invariant facial recognition, Advances in Computer Science and Information Technology , vol. 2, no. 5; pp. 450-453, 2015.

[2] N. Ramanathan and R. Chellappa, Face verification across age progression, IEEE transactions on image processing, vol. 15, no. 11, 2006.

[3] H. Ling, S. Soatto, N. Ramanathan and DW. Jacobs, A study of face recognition as people age, IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 1-8, 2007

[4] N. R. Syambas and U. H. Purwant, Image processing and ace detection analysis on face verification based on the age stages, 7th IEEE, Int. Conf. on Telecommunication Systems, Services and Applications, 2012.

[5] G. Mahalingam and C. Kambhamettu, Age invariant face recognition using graph Matching, Fourth IEEE International Conference on Biometrics: Theory, Applications and Systems (BTAS), 2010

[6] Jyothi S. Nayak and Indiramma M, Efficient face recognition with compensation for aging variations, IEEE 4th Int. Conf. on Advanced Computing, pp. 1-5, 2012.

[7] S. Jyothi Nayak, M Indiramma and N. Nagarathna , Modeling self–principal component analysis for age invariant face recognition, IEEE Int. Conf. on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, 2012.

[8] Z. Li, U. Park, A. K. Jain., A discriminative model for age invariant face recognition, IEEE transactions on information forensics and security, vol.6, no.3, September 2011.

[9] A. Lanitis, A survey of the effects of aging on biometric identity verification, International Journal of Biometrics ,vol. 2, pp. 34–52, 2009.

[10] A. Lanitis, CJ. Taylor and TF. Cootes, Toward automatic simulation of aging effects on face images, IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell, vol. 24, pp. 442–455, 2002.

[11] N. Ramanathan, R. Chellappa and S. Biswas, Computational methods for modeling facial aging: a survey. J Vis Lang Comput, vol. 20, pp. 131–144, 2009.

[12] Y. Fu, G. Guo and TS. Huang, Age synthesis and estimation via faces: a survey, IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell, vol. 32, pp. 1955–1976, 2010.

[13] A. Lanitis, C. Draganova and C. Christodoulou, Comparing different classifiers for automatic age estimation, IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern B Cybern, vol. 34, pp. 621–628, 2004.

[14] K. Ricanek, A. Sethuram, EK. Patterson, AM. Albert and EJ. Boone, Craniofacial aging Wiley handbook of science and technology for homeland security, 2008.
[15] M. M. Sawant and K. M. Bhurchandi, Age invariance face recognition: a survey on facial aging databases, techniques and effect of aging, Artificial Intelligence Review, Springer, pp. 1-28, 13 October 2018.
[16] Thompson DW, On growth and form Cambridge, England, 1917.
[17] L. Farkas, Anthropometry of the head and face. Raven Press, New York, p XIX, 1994.
[18] L.G. Farkas, I.R. Munro, Anthropometric facial proportions in medicine. Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, 1987.
[19] Ming-Hsuan Yang, D. J. Kriegman and N. Ahuja, Detecting Faces in Images: A Survey, IEEE Trans on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 24, no. 1, January 2002.
[20] M. Kirby and L. Sirovich, Application of the Karhunen-Loeve procedure for the characterization of human faces. IEEE Trans. Patt. Anal. Mach. Intell., vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 103-108, 1990.
[21] Li X. and Areibi S, A Hardware/Software code sign approach for face recognition, The 16th, International Conference on Microelectronics, Tunisia, 2004.
[22] Y. Taigman, M. Yang, M. Ranzato and L. Wolf, Deepface: Closing the gap to human-level performance in face verification in CVPR, pp. 1701–1708, 2014.
[23] Y. Sun, Y. Chen, X. Wang and X. Tang, Deep learning face representation by joint identification-verification in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. D. Lawrence, and K. Q. Weinberger, Eds., pp. 1988–1996, 2014.
[24] Z. Zhu, P. Luo, X. Wang and X. Tang, Deep learning identity preserving face space, in ICCV, pp. 113- 120, 2013.
[25] Y. Zhang, M. Shao, E. K. Wong and Y. Fu, Random faces guided sparse many-to-one encoder for pose-invariant face recognition, in ICCV, pp. 2416–2423, 2013.
[26] M. Kan, S. Shan, H. Chang, and X. Chen, Stacked progressive autoencoders (spae) for face recognition across poses, in CVPR, pp. 1883–1890, 2014.
[27] H. Zhai, C. Liu, H. Dong, Y. Ji, Y. Guo and S. Gong, Face verification across aging based on deep convolutional networks and local binary patterns, in International Conference Intelligence Science and Big Data Engineering, pp. 341–350, 2015.
[28] Y. Naik, Detailed survey of different face recognition approaches, International Journal of Computer Science and Mobile Computing, Vol.3, Issue.5, pp. 1306-1313, May- 2014.
[29] D. Sinha, J. P. Pandey and B. Chauhan, A deep learning approach for age invariance face recognition, International Journal of Pure and applied Mathematics, vol. 117, no. 21, pp. 371-389, 2017.
[30] Y. Wang, D. Gong, Z. Zhou, X. Ji, H. Wang, Z. L, W. Liu, T. Zhang, Orthogonal Deep Features Decomposition for Age-Invariant Face Recognition, European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 764-779, 2018.
[31] H. Zhou, K.M. Lam, Age-invariant face recognition based on identity inference from appearance age, Pattern recognition, vol. 76, pp. 191-202, 2018.
[32] W. Yitong, G. Dihong, Z. Zheng, J. Xing, W. Hao, Li Zhifeng, L Wei, and Z Tong, Orthogonal deep features decomposition for age-invariant face recognition, IEEE, Pattern Anal Mach Intell, vol. 29, pp. 2234–2240, 2018.